

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,286	07/13/2006	David Stephen Delbridge	1122-P0005	3047
36067 7590 01/08/2007 DALINA LAW GROUP, P.C.			EXAMINER	
7910 IVANHOE	AVE. #325	·	. FRANCIS, FAYE	
LA JOLLA, CA 92037			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

88

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/586,286	DELBRIDGE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Faye Francis	3725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	lv 2006.						
<u>/_</u>	,						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 July 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/13/06</u> .	6) Other:	F F					
O Determined to the control of the c							

Application/Control Number: 10/586,286

Art Unit: 3725

## DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said tapering bore" in line 7 for the second rotatable component. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/586,286

Art Unit: 3725

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 20304793U1, hereinafter DE.

DE discloses in Figs 1-4, a spice grinder comprising a first static component [shaft seat 2 and fixed seat 3], a second rotatable component [rotating seat 6, lifting seat 5 and grinding device 4] which fits on the first static component, the first static component having a tapering bore with teeth projecting inwardly from a surface of the tapering bore, the second rotatable component having a toothed portion thereof in the tapering bore [Fig 1], the first static component and the second rotatable component both configured with interlocking circumferentially extending ribs and the second rotatable component includes a spider comprising spokes 52 with openings there between.

Any remaining limitations not disclosed in the reference would then been obvious design choice once the basic structure was known, as it solves no stated problem and of no patentable merit [see pages 4 and 5 of the specification].

6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CH 351726 A, hereinafter CH.

CH discloses in Figs 1-2, a spice grinder comprising a first static component [fitting 5], a second rotatable component [rotor 3] which fits on the first static component, the first static component having a tapering bore with teeth projecting inwardly from a surface of the tapering bore, the second rotatable component having a toothed portion

Art Unit: 3725

thereof in the tapering bore, the first static component and the second rotatable component both configured with interlocking circumferentially extending ribs and the second rotatable component includes a spider comprising spokes with openings there between [Figs 1 and 2 and page 1 lines 18-49 of the specification].

Any remaining limitations not disclosed in the reference would then been obvious design choice once the basic structure was known, as it solves no stated problem and of no patentable merit [see page 1 lines 18-49 of the specification].

## Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye Francis whose telephone number is 571-272-4423. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,286

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FF

Faye Francis 2
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3725

Page 5